

### 9<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the Compliance Committee (CC9) and 12<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the Parties (MoP12)

Ebène, Mauritius, 25-27 June and 30 June - 4 July 2025

CC-09-16 Rev1

MoP-12-26 Rev1

# SIOFA Performance Review Recommendations

The SIOFA Secretariat

	information paper $\square$
Distribution	Public 🗸
	Restricted <sup>1</sup> $\square$
	Closed session document $^2$ $\square$
Abstract	
Panel, revised during performance review	contains all the recommendations provided by the SIOFA Performance Reviewing the last meeting of the SIOFA MoP (MoP-11-02-Rev3 Report of the SIOFA panel). This document was provided to the SC10, the CC9 and the MoP12 for the sign of t

of the document shall be provided after consideration of CC9 recommendations for the MoP to

working paper 🗸

consider all the actions taken since MoP11.

**Document type** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Restricted documents may contain confidential information. Please do not distribute restricted documents in any form without the explicit permission of the SIOFA Secretariat and the data owner(s)/provider(s).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Documents available only to members invited to closed sessions.

### Recommendations

The MoP and the CC to:

Review and revise, as needed, the proposed timelines and confirm the current status of the Performance Review Recommendations.

## SIOFA Performance Review Recommendations

#### Introduction

This paper attempts to provide a simple and schematic summary of the Performance Review Pannel Recommendations considered by the MoP10.

The paper uses tables, links and a "traffic light" colour system to rapidly visualize the status of the tasks assigned to the MoP, with the aim to identify tasks that warrant further attention from the MoP and the Secretariat. The following table provides an explanation of the colour coding system.

Recommendation ongoing	
Recommendation waiting for MoP's action	
Recommendation completed by the Secretariat waiting for MoP'action	
Recommendation not endorsed by MoP	

Review Recommendations Panel Priority (H/M/L )  Recommendations Panel Priority (H/M/L )  Recommendations Priority menting Party(ies) / Body(ies)	
The Panel recommends that recommends that the SC Acoted that it has made recommendation is 1, the SC contend that it has made recommendation is 1, the SC contend that it has made recommendation is 1 the SC noted that it has made recommendation is 1 the Conferring high priority to the improvement of stock assessment work at it he loint MoP SC Harvest Strategies with the loint MoP SC Harvest Strategies. This task should be subject to a target timeline and include a process for an independent peer review of assessment methods and results.  **Extra of the Acote SC Managery is the SC More of the Acote SC Managery is the MoP consider Recommendation Nr 1 in conjunction with paragraphs 156-197 and Annex F (Medium-Term SCB Workplan) of the SCB Report.  **I3. The SC More of the Acote SCB Report.  **I3. The SC More of the Acote SCB Report.  **I3. The SC More of the Acote SCB Report.  **I3. The SC More of the Acote SCB Report.  **I3. The SC More of the SCB Report.  **I4. The SC More of the SCB Report.  **I4.	sessment of ORY (SC-10-40).

Nº	Performance		SC Recommendations (from	CC07	MoP10 Decision	MoP 10	Imple-	Proposed	Notes and Current Status
INE	Review Recommendations	Review Panel Priority	SC-EXTRA1)	Recommendations	MOF TO DECISION	Priority	menting Party(ies) / Body(ies)	Timeline at MoP10	Notes and Current Status
		(H/M/L )					Bouy(les)		
			are used to mitigate the risk						
			and uncertainty.						
			14. The SC endorsed the high priority assigned to this						
			recommendation.						
2	The Panel	Н	15. The SC endorsed		MoP supports this	Н	SC	Ongoing	Task considered, ref SC9 report.
	recommends that		Recommendation Nr 2 and		recommendation, work				Future SC meeting will need to define "key shark" species.
	SIOFA CCPs task the		noted that it has conducted		is already ongoing.				Note the update in the shark ERA (SC-10-49).
	Scientific		discussions on assessing the		Key shark stocks for				
	Committee with		status of key shark stocks in		assessment to be				
	assessing the status		the SIOFA Area.		defined by SC.				
	of key shark stocks		16. The SC recommended that the MoP consider						
	in the Area and that their status be kept		Recommendation Nr 2 in						
	under constant		conjunction with:						
	review over the		a. the outcomes of the						
	coming years.		Intersessional Workshop on						
			Deepwater Sharks in SIOFA						
			Area, particularly the						
			updated ecological risk						
			assessment for deepwater						
			chondrichthyan species (paper SC-08-29 Update on						
			the ecological risk						
			assessment of deepwater						
			chondrichthyan species);						
			b. paragraphs 224–257 of						
			the SC8 Report, noting in						
			particular the limited ability						
			to conduct a stock						
			assessment on shark species						
			in the short-term, especially						
			since the planned measures to reduce shark bycatch will						
			result in less data being						
			available;						
			c. the shark-related						
			scientific work in the						
			Medium-Term SC8						
			Workplan (Annex F, SC8						
			Report).						
			17. The SC endorsed the						

Nº	Performance Review Recommendations	Review Panel Priority (H/M/L )	SC Recommendations (from SC-EXTRA1)	CC07 Recommendations	MoP10 Decision	MoP 10 Priority	Imple- menting Party(ies) / Body(ies)	Proposed Timeline at MoP10	Notes and Current Status
			high priority assigned to this recommendation.						
3	The Panel recommends SIOFA CCPs ensure that the fisheries summaries developed by the Scientific Committee contain clear information on the stock status of species caught in the SIOFA Area, and that this information is promptly made available to the general public.	M/L	18. The SC endorsed Recommendation Nr 3. The SC noted that stock status will be included in the fisheries summaries and will be reported to the MoP. The SC also noted that the fisheries summaries should be made available to the public (paragraph 129, SC8 Report) and that the continued development of the fisheries summaries is a priority in the Medium-Term SC Workplan (Annex F, SC8 Report). 19. The SC endorsed the medium/low priority assigned to the recommendation.		MoP endorses this recommendation, work is ongoing	M/L	SC / Secretariat	3 - 5 Years	See the fisheries summaries updated in 2025 (SC10 Report)
4	The Panel recommends SIOFA CCPs assess the use of the VME Guide by observers and take action to ensure its use as required, and also implement awareness programmes targeting observers.	M	20. The SC endorsed Recommendation Nr 4 and noted that work is ongoing to make this information available on the SIOFA website and that the SC has discussed developing the VME Guide further with additional species. 21. The SC endorsed the medium priority assigned to this recommendation.		MoP endorses this recommendation	M	SC Secretariat for publication CCPs for implementation	3 - 5 Years	Note work on the SIOFA VME Classification Guide (SC-10-26). Publication has been made by the Secretariat on the website. https://siofa.org/sites/default/files/documents/cmm/SIOFA-VME-taxa-guide-2025.pdf

Nº	Performance Review Recommendations	Review Panel Priority (H/M/L	SC Recommendations (from SC-EXTRA1)	CC07 Recommendations	MoP10 Decision	MoP 10 Priority	Imple- menting Party(ies) / Body(ies)	Proposed Timeline at MoP10	Notes and Current Status
5	The Panel recommends SIOFA CCPs finalise the protocol on VME and protected area designation and speed up the process of progressing the agreed protected areas from their interim nature and identify any further areas in need for protection.	Н/М	22. Regarding Recommendation Nr 5, the SC endorsed the recommendation and noted that related work is underway as part of the "PAE2022-MPA1 Protocols to designate and evaluate MPAs" EU funded project, which focuses on the designation and assessment of marine protected areas, and whose outcomes are expected to be delivered at the end of 2023. 23. The SC endorsed the high/medium priority assigned to this recommendation.		MoP endorses this recommendation	н/м	SC MoP	1 - 3 Years	Completed, see SC10 Report on revised protocol and proposed BPAs
6	The Panel recommends SIOFA CCPs consider capacity building activities for developing States to undertake BFIAs as per the SIOFA standards.	M	24. The SC noted that Recommendation Nr 6 should be considered in conjunction with Recommendation Nr 31. 25. The SC endorsed Recommendation Nr 6 but recommended to the MoP that broader capacity building, particularly data capture, data quality, and data reporting, rather than specifically to BFIAs, would be of greater use to developing States. 26. Regarding the priority assigned to this recommendation, the SC considered it to be of a low priority if it only pertained to BFIAs, but a high/medium priority if it pertained to broader capacity building that included data capture,		MoP endorses this recommendation	H/M H on data capacity building	SC / Secretariat	1 - 3 years	The Observers' harmonisation framework partially addresses the data capture and quality improvement (SEC2022-OBS1)  The MoP tasked the Secretariat for developing a paper on options for facilitating and addressing Capacity Building needs of CCP development states for consideration at its next CC and MoP. The scope of the paper should encompass the broad range of areas as commented by the SC in relation to recommendation 6. See paper SC-10-24.

Nº	Performance		SC Recommendations (from	CC07	MoP10 Decision	MoP 10	Imple-	Proposed	Notes and Current Status
	Review	Review	SC-EXTRA1)	Recommendations		Priority	menting	Timeline at	
	Recommendations	Panel					Party(ies) /	MoP10	
		Priority					Body(ies)		
		(H/M/L							
		,	data quality, and data						
			reporting.						
			reporting.						
7	The Panel	Н	27. Regarding		MoP agrees with the				N/A
	recommends either		Recommendation Nr 7, the		concerns expressed by				
	the deadlines for		SC noted that it had		SC.				
	data submission		discussed this issue and		MoP does not endorse				
	under relevant		reached the conclusion that:		this recommendation				
	CMMs or the		a. the current data		The MoP would				
	schedule of the		submission deadline is the		however consider				
	annual meeting of		only feasible deadline for		mechanisms to enable				
	the Scientific Committee be		CCPs, as it comes after the		CCPs to provide data on a more frequent basis.				
	revised to ensure		end of the fishing season and that obtaining, entering		a more frequent basis.				
	the SC has the most		and checking the data						
	recent data		before submission to SIOFA						
	available ahead of		would not be possible at an						
	its annual meeting.		earlier date. The SIOFA						
	o o		Secretariat noted that, once						
			received, these data are						
			entered into the SIOFA						
			databases, checked and						
			validated, and that final						
			versions of these data are						
			only available for analysis						
			around September, which						
			would be after the MoP.						
			b. rescheduling of the SC to						
			a later date would therefore						
			also not be a feasible option, as there would not						
			likely be enough time to						
			hold the MoP meeting						
			within the same year.						
			28. The SC noted that it had						
			previously discussed and						
			requested the MoP to						
			consider mechanisms to						
			enable CCPs to submit data						
			on a more frequent basis						
			(e.g., monthly or quarterly						
			reporting) where CCPs were						

Nº	Performance		SC Pasammandations /fram	CC07	MoP10 Decision	MoP 10	Implo	Bronocod	Notes and Current Status
Nō	Review Recommendations	Review Panel Priority (H/M/L )	SC Recommendations (from SC-EXTRA1)	CCO7 Recommendations	MION TO DECISION	Priority	Imple- menting Party(ies) / Body(ies)	Proposed Timeline at MoP10	Notes and Current Status
			able to (paragraph 64, SC7 Report). 29. The SC noted that the annual national reports provide a mechanism for the SC to have a summary of the most recent data and "could be used to support more informed discussions at the SC meeting" (SC8 para 52). The SC further noted that when conducting stock assessments on long-lived fish, the long-term trend is more important than the terminal year, and not being able to use the most recent data in a stock assessment is therefore not a major issue. 30. The SC recommended that the MoP note that it disagreed with Recommendation Nr 7.						
	Recommendation Nr 34 on the implementation of reporting requirements, specifically on the development of an IT-platform for the management of data and information submissions also apply to the issues assessed under this criterion.	1							See recommendation 34

Nº	Performance		SC Recommendations (from	CC07	MoP10 Decision	MoP 10	Imple-	Proposed	Notes and Current Status
Nº	Review	Review	SC-EXTRA1)	Recommendations	WioP10 Decision	Priority	menting	Timeline at	Notes and current status
	Recommendations	Panel	SC-EXTRAI)	Recommendations		Priority	Party(ies) /	MoP10	
	Recommendations	Priority					Body(ies)	MOPIO	
		(H/M/L					bouy(les)		
		(П/101/ L							
8	The Panel	M	31. The SC endorsed		MoP encourages SC to	М	SC	3 years	Completed. Note the SC workplan with priorities developed
°	recommends SIOFA	IVI	Recommendation Nr 8.		develop a long-term	IVI	SC	3 years	for up to 5 years
	CCPs task the		32. The SC recommended						for up to 5 years
	Scientific		that the MoP note that the		strategic plan. Topic will also be				
	Committee to		SC is prepared to develop a		addressed at MoP10				
	develop a long-		long-term strategic plan		under agenda item XX				
	term strategic plan		with guidance from the		under agenda item xx				
	with identified		MoP, and that a medium						
	priorities for its								
	· ·		term plan had been						
	work and options for the use of		prepared at SC8 for						
			consideration by the MoP.						
	independent		33. The SC recommended						
	consultants,		that the MoP hold a broader						
	academic		discussion on options for						
	institutions,		the use of independent						
	private/public		consultants, academic						
	organisations		institutions, private/public						
	and/or CCP		organisations and/or CCP						
	expertise resources		expertise resources as						
	as feasible, taking		feasible.						
	into account		34. The SC endorsed the						
	funding		medium priority assigned to						
	requirements.		this recommendation.						
	Recommendations								
	nr 7, 10 and 46								
	concerning,								
	respectively, the								
	scheduling of SC								
	meetings, the								
	development of a								
	framework for								
	Scientific Advice								
	and the								
	management of								
	human and funding								
	resources for its								
	work apply also to								
	the issues assessed								
1	under this criterion.								

Nº	Performance		SC Recommendations (from	CC07	MoP10 Decision	MoP 10	Imple-	Proposed	Notes and Current Status
	Review	Review	SC-EXTRA1)	Recommendations		Priority	menting	Timeline at	
	Recommendations	Panel					Party(ies) /	MoP10	
		Priority					Body(ies)		
		(H/M/L							
		)							
9	The Panel	М	35. Regarding	82. Regarding	MoP does not endorse	M	CC / Secretariat	Ongoing	A change to the CMM naming convention was adopted at
	recommends CCPs		Recommendation Nr 9, the	Recommendation Nr	the recommendation to				MoP10, and this was implemented by the Secretariat in
	to launch an		SC endorsed the need to	9, the Compliance	consolidate the various			Oct 2023 for	October 2023
	exercise of		identify existing gaps and	Committee endorsed	CMM into a corpus of			CMMs	
	consolidation of the		possible contradictions, and	the recommendation	SIOFA measures. The			renaming	MoP11 notes that the recommendation has been
	various CMMs into		issues of interpretation in	to identify existing	MoP noted that the CC is				implemented.
	a corpus of SIOFA		need of resolving, but did	gaps and possible	continuously revising				
	rules and		not consider there to be a	contradictions, and	the CMM.				
	regulations, with		strong need to consolidate	issues of					
	the aim of codifying		the various CMMs.	interpretation in	MoP adopts the				
	the applicable rules		36. The SC endorsed the	need of resolving,	renaming convention of				
	to make them		medium priority assigned to	while noting that this	the CMM.				
	clearer, easier to		this recommendation.	is part of the ongoing					
	interpret and easier		37. The SC recommended	work of the					
	to control in terms		that the MoP consider	Compliance					
	of compliance. This		changing the naming	Committee. The					
	exercise should		convention for the CMMs so	Compliance					
	identify existing		that the CMM number	Committee did not					
	gaps and possible		precedes the year the CMM	endorse the					
	contradictions,		was updated, e.g., CMM	recommendation to					
	issues of		2020-01 would become	consolidate the					
	interpretation in		CMM 01-2020.	various CMMs into a					
	need of resolving,			corpus of SIOFA rules					
	and a future			and regulations.					
	structure of the								
	corpus that allows								
	the different actors								
	on whom the								
	various obligations								
	fall (from SIOFA's								
	own bodies, to CCP								
	authorities, to								
	fishers) to have a								
	clear and user-								
	friendly access to								
i	their applicable								
	rules and discipline.					i	1	I	

Nº	Performance		SC Recommendations (from	CC07	MoP10 Decision	MoP 10	Imple-	Proposed	Notes and Current Status
	Review	Review	SC-EXTRA1)	Recommendations		Priority	menting	Timeline at	
	Recommendations	Panel					Party(ies) /	MoP10	
		Priority					Body(ies)		
		(H/M/L							
		)							
10	The Panel	М	38. The SC endorsed		MoP endorsed	М	SC	1 - 3 years	Ongoing. See the SC workplan for proposed projects relating
	recommends SIOFA		Recommendation Nr 10 and		recommendation 10.				to the precautionary approach framework and harvest
	CCPs undertake the		noted that, with the						strategies (SIOFA-PAM)
	development of a		adoption of harvest						
	framework for the		strategies and defined						
	provision of		management targets and						
	Scientific Advice		risk thresholds, the SC						
	that takes into		would be able to develop						
	account best		more formal decision-						
	international		making tools that would be						
	practices, whether		useful for the MoP.						
	or not combined		39. The SC endorsed the						
	with a framework		medium priority assigned to						
	for decision-making		this recommendation.						
	at managerial level		40. The SC noted that the						
	in accordance with		FAO DSF project is compiling						
	the Precautionary		information on how advice						
	Approach. This		is requested and provided at						
	could accompany		different RFMOs as a way to						
	or complement the		share ideas and methods						
	already decided		among RFMOs.						
	work line dedicated		41. The SC noted that it						
	to the development		would also be useful to						
	of harvest		develop a template or						
	strategies but		agreed language for framing						
	would provide the		stock assessment or						
	basis for an urgent		ecological advice to the						
	consideration of		MoP.						
	precautionary								
	measures in the								
	short term.								

Nº	Performance Review Recommendations	Review Panel Priority (H/M/L	SC Recommendations (from SC-EXTRA1)	CC07 Recommendations	MoP10 Decision	MoP 10 Priority	Imple- menting Party(ies) / Body(ies)	Proposed Timeline at MoP10	Notes and Current Status
11	The Panel recommends SIOFA discusses with CCAMLR concrete options to comanage toothfish stocks shared between the 2 organisations, and establishes either a prohibition of fishing for this resource outside established toothfish management units or revised the units as required so no activities escape the conservation measures established for this resource.	H	42. The SC endorsed Recommendation Nr 11 and noted that it is consistent with its discussions at SC8 (paragraphs 143–155, SC8 Report). 43. The SC endorsed the high priority assigned to this recommendation.		MoP endorsed recommendation 11.	Н	MoP	ongoing	SC has recommended the establishment of a new South Indian Ridge (SIR) management area with an associated catch limit (para 211 of the SC9 report)  MoP11 noted that CMM15 was amended in 2023, to extend the scope of the application of provisions concerning observer coverage and toothfish tagging to the whole SIOFA area.
12	The Panel recommends SIOFA CCPs to urgently agree on precautionary measures regarding alfonsino in light of the significant level of catches, second in the Area by weight, and of the fact that the stocks' biological complexity makes it challenging to adopt measures other than precautionary, at least in the short-to-medium term.	н	44. The SC disagreed with Recommendation Nr 12 and recommended that the MoP note that this recommendation was inconsistent with the previous alfonsino stock assessment advice (SC-05-29 Age-Structured Production Model assessments of the Alfonsino, and summarised in paragraphs 116–119 of the SC5 Report) and with the CPUE analyses conducted at SC8 (paragraph 130 and Figure 1, SC8 Report), which indicated that "the stock is fluctuating without trend in recent years".		MoP does not endorse Recommendation 12. MoP noted the lack of management measures for Alfonsino, and requests SC9 to propose potential management measures for Alfonsino				N/A

Nº	Performance Review Recommendations	Review Panel Priority (H/M/L )	SC Recommendations (from SC-EXTRA1)	CC07 Recommendations	MoP10 Decision	MoP 10 Priority	Imple- menting Party(ies) / Body(ies)	Proposed Timeline at MoP10	Notes and Current Status
	Effort and catches should be constrained to the lowest possible levels.		45. The SC noted that it discussed planned and ongoing alfonsino-related scientific work at SC8 (paragraphs 131–140, SC8 Report).						
13	The Panel recommends SIOFA CCPs adopt precautionary measures for target stocks other than the three key stocks of toothfish, orange roughy and alfonsino.	н/м	46. The SC endorsed Recommendation Nr 13 and noted that it had discussed and recommended interim Harvest Control Rules (HCRs) for the key SIOFA stocks (paragraph 178, SC8 Report). 47. The SC endorsed the high/medium priority assigned to this recommendation.		MoP endorsed recommendation 13	н/м	SC / MoP	ongoing	Note the development of harvest strategies for key stocks, and note the ERA and proposed updates for future meetings (SC workplan).  Note the work on CPUE for oilfish and escolar.  Note the catch limits on species not otherwise assessed based on the average catch of a reference period already adopted by the MoP.
14	The Panel recommends SIOFA CCPs engage in discussions towards a future regime for the allocation of fishing rights.	L			MoP endorsed recommendation 14	L	МоР	5 years	MoP11 had discussion on this topic under the SC agenda items. CKI proposed a paper on allocation framework for MoP12.
15	The Panel recommends SIOFA CCPs agree on a definition of new fisheries and discuss a regulatory framework for new and exploratory fisheries incorporating the highest standards derived from international best practices. The framework should	н/м	48. The SC endorsed Recommendation Nr 15 and noted that there had been previous work on this matter, although not in recent years. The SC noted that it had recommended an updated bottom fishing footprint to the MoP and recommended that the MoP consider the implications of the bottom fishing footprint once it is agreed, including how new fishing should be considered (paragraphs 95		MoP endorses recommendation 15  Work in ongoing	н/м	SC and MoP	1 - 3 years	Completed.  A new CMM has been adopted MoP11 (CMM 17 (2024)).

	_					1			
Nº	Performance Review Recommendations	Review Panel Priority (H/M/L )	SC Recommendations (from SC-EXTRA1)	CC07 Recommendations	MoP10 Decision	MoP 10 Priority	Imple- menting Party(ies) / Body(ies)	Proposed Timeline at MoP10	Notes and Current Status
	make proper use of tools already developed by SIOFA such as the fishing footprint, BFIAs and VME mapping.	·	and 277, SC8 Report). 49. The SC endorsed the high/medium priority assigned to this recommendation.						
	Recommendations nr 10, 12 and 13, above on the implementation of the Precautionary approach apply also for the purposes of the issues assessed under this criterion.	1							
16	The Panel recommends SIOFA CCPs to make every effort to progress from the current interim arrangements for bottom fishing to permanent rules, retaking discussions on this issue from the proposal tabled in 2019 or an updated version of it. Recommendation nr 9 above, on a corpus of SIOFA rules, applies also for the purposes of the issues at stake here.	H	50. The SC noted that, with the provision of BFIAs in the past years and of an updated footprint presented this year, the MoP could decide to move towards a more permanent management of bottom fishing. 51. The SC also noted its recommendation to the MoP noting that new fishing would need to be considered when the bottom fishing footprint is agreed (paragraph 95, SC8 Report).		MoP endorses recommendation 16  Work in ongoing	Н	SC and MoP	1 - 3 years	MoP11 notes that SC9 provided some details on how to address new and exploratory fisheries.  By adopting the bottom fishing footprint many provisions in the CMM 01 should not be interim anymore.  A new CMM has been adopted by MoP11 (CMM 17 (2024)).

Nº	Recommendations	Review Panel Priority (H/M/L	SC Recommendations (from SC-EXTRA1)	CC07 Recommendations	MoP10 Decision	MoP 10 Priority	Imple- menting Party(ies) / Body(ies)	Proposed Timeline at MoP10	Notes and Current Status
17	The Panel recommends the MoP requests from the SC an evaluation of the frequency of VME encounters and of the compliance of fishing vessels with the reporting and move-on rule requirements.	Н	52. The SC endorsed Recommendation Nr 17. 53. The SC recommended that the MoP consider this recommendation in conjunction with the outcomes of the VME workshop (paper SC-08-25), the analysis of available VME indicator taxa accidental captures data from the Observer and CatchEffort databases and their usability for setting VME encounter thresholds (paper SC-08-26) presented by the Secretariat at SC8, and the related discussions at SC8 (paragraphs 290-292, SC8 Report). 54. The SC endorsed the high priority assigned to this recommendation.		MoP endorses recommendation 17	Н	CC and SC	1 - 3 years	See the SC workplan and the SC VME focused session at SC in 2025. CC08 noted that this work is ongoing.  Note the revised guidelines for annual national reports 2025 recommending higher resolution of VME incidental captures reporting.
18	The Panel recommends that SIOFA CCPs expand their consideration of actions aiming at the conservation of biodiversity to fishing activities other than those using bottom gears, extending the concept of Impact Assessment to such activities as well.	M	55. Regarding Recommendation Nr 18, the SC agreed that fishing activities other than those using bottom gears may affect biodiversity and noted that it could include such considerations in its workplan if requested by the MoP.		MoP endorses recommendation 18	M	SC	3 - 5 years	Note the proposal of new observer logbooks for squid fishing from SC10.
19	The Panel recommends SIOFA CCPs to agree urgently on measures to reduce shark by-catches, in	Н	56. The SC endorsed Recommendation Nr 19 and noted that it held extensive discussions on measures to reduce shark by-catch measures at SC8, including		MoP endorses recommendation 19	Н	SC and MoP	1 - 3 years (work ongoing)	Note the projects in the SC workplan and discussions in the SC10 report

Nº	Performance Review Recommendations	Review Panel Priority	SC Recommendations (from SC-EXTRA1)	CC07 Recommendations	MoP10 Decision	MoP 10 Priority	Imple- menting Party(ies) / Body(ies)	Proposed Timeline at MoP10	Notes and Current Status
	particular by implementing any mitigation measures that identified as effective by the 2023 specific workshop on sharks to take place under the aegis of the Scientific Committee, including precautionary catch limits for Portuguese dogfish. Recommendation nr 2 on the assessment of the	(H/M/L )	the outcomes of the Intersessional Workshop on Deepwater Sharks in SIOFA Area and the SC recommendations to the MoP (paragraphs 225–257, SC8 Report). 57. The SC endorsed the high priority assigned to this recommendation.						
	status of shark stocks is also relevant for the issues discussed under this criterion.								
20	The Panel recommends the SC effectively use the focused agenda item on seabird bycatch, decided by SC 8 in 2023 for future sessions, to identify necessary by-catch mitigation measures, including in trawl fisheries, as originally proposed at the time CMM 13 was adopted. SIOFA's cooperation arrangements with ACAP, but also with	M/L	58. The SC endorsed Recommendation Nr 20 and noted that it plans to hold a focused agenda item on seabird data collection and bycatch mitigation measures at SC9 (paragraphs 265 and 268, SC8 Report). 59. The SC endorsed the medium/low priority assigned to this recommendation.		MoP endorses recommendation 20	M	SC and MoP	3 - 5 years	This is now a standing item of the SC agenda. Work has substantially progressed during SC10.

Nº	Performance Review Recommendations	Review Panel Priority (H/M/L )	SC Recommendations (from SC-EXTRA1)	CC07 Recommendations	MoP10 Decision	MoP 10 Priority	Imple- menting Party(ies) / Body(ies)	Proposed Timeline at MoP10	Notes and Current Status
	CCAMLR, should be strengthened including for the purposes of this work.								
21	The Panel recommends SIOFA carries out a review of the effect of effort limits applicable to relevant fleets to determine whether such limits constrain the fishing activity or not, and that a clear determination is made on the potential use of capacity or effort limits as a fishery management tool, especially with regard to fisheries conducted with gears other than bottom gears.	M	60. The SC noted Recommendation Nr 21 and that it could conduct the relevant analyses if requested by the MoP.		MoP endorses recommendation 21	М	SC and MoP	3 - 5 years	[The implementation of this recommendation has not started yet]
22	The Panel recommends SIOFA CCPs consider incorporating the principles of a flag State performance self-assessment into their compliance monitoring scheme, including by tasking the CC with reviewing the	н/м	61. Regarding Recommendation Nr 22, the SC noted that it reviews CCPs' annual national reports to obtain the most recent information fisheries data and to identify any potential new trends or scientifically relevant issues.	83. Regarding Recommendation Nr 22, the Compliance Committee noted that flag State performance self- assessment is already part of the CMS, and that the Secretariat identifies any potential compliance issues from the annual national	MoP endorses the recommendation and notes the comments of the CC and the SC that such assessments are already performed.	М	SC CC MoP	1 - 5 years (and ongoing)	Annual reports are reviewed annually at SC.  The SIOFA CMS captures this recommendation

Nº	Performance Review Recommendations	Review Panel	SC Recommendations (from SC-EXTRA1)	CC07 Recommendations	MoP10 Decision	MoP 10 Priority	Imple- menting Party(ies) /	Proposed Timeline at MoP10	Notes and Current Status
		Priority (H/M/L					Body(ies)		
	annual national reports submitted by CCPs and currently reviewed only by the SC.			reports and replies to compliance questionnaire and presents these to the Compliance Committee for its consideration.					
23	The Panel recommends SIOFA CCPs consider the adoption of binding application of the Port Inspection Scheme to all ports of every CCPs, without the condition to apply to those having areas of national jurisdiction adjacent to the Agreement Area.	H/M		84. Regarding Recommendation Nr 23, the Compliance Committee expressed its general support for reviewing the scope of the Port Inspection Scheme. 85. One CCP supported expanding the scope of the Port Inspection Scheme but not to "all ports of every CCP" as stated in the Panel's recommendation, and cautioned that any potential amendment to the current scheme should be carefully considered to avoid adding unnecessary burden on ports not adjacent to the Agreement Area. 86. Australia expressed its intention to prepare a proposal to amend CMM 2020/08 (Port Inspection), based on Recommendation Nr 23 and the views expressed by CCPs,	MoP agrees to review the scope of the PI scheme, and noted the recommendatiosn by the CC	М	CC and MoP	1 - 3 years	CC notes the ongoing work led by Australia on the port inspection scope [MoP notes the ongoing work being led by Australia on the port inspection scope] [Australia to provide update on this work during CC09/MoP12]

Nº	Performance Review Recommendations	Review Panel Priority (H/M/L	SC Recommendations (from SC-EXTRA1)	CC07 Recommendations	MoP10 Decision	MoP 10 Priority	Imple- menting Party(ies) / Body(ies)	Proposed Timeline at MoP10	Notes and Current Status
				with the aim to present this proposal at CC8.					
24	The Panel recommends SIOFA adopts at least a minimum standard regarding inspection coverage of all fishing vessels carrying or landing resources of its competence which enter their ports.	н		87. Australia expressed its intention to consider Recommendation Nr 24 when preparing its aforementioned proposal to amend CMM 2020/08.	MoP agrees to consider minimum standards for PI coverage and noted the recommendations from the CC	М	CC and MoP	1 - 3 years	CC notes the ongoing work led by Australia on the port inspection scope [MoP notes the ongoing work being led by Australia on the port inspection scope] [Australia to provide update on this work during CC09/MoP12]
25	The Panel recommends SIOFA CCPs investigate possible landings or transhipments of SIOFA species at ports placed under the jurisdiction of non-CCPs, and if this is found to happen, initiate demarches with the relevant port States to request they become CCPs or cooperate with SIOFA as appropriate.	H		88. The Compliance Committee expressed its general support for Recommendation Nr 25. Some CCPs had different interpretations of the definition of "demarches" but agreed that, if possible landings or transhipments of SIOFA species are found to have occurred at ports placed under the jurisdiction of non- CCPs, the Secretariat should contact the relevant port States to request they become CCPs or cooperate with SIOFA as appropriate. 89. The Chairperson of the Review Panel	MoP endorses the recommendation, noting the comments of the CC	M/L	Secretariat CC MoP	3 - 5 years	The Secretariat sends annual invitations to coastal states to join the Agreement as CP or CNCP.  The Secretariat reviews the inspections reports that are provided by other parties  CC recommends that the Secretariat widens the scope of information it collects to conduct this analysis, including information already available from other organisations (e.g. FAO statistics)  [The 8th Meeting of the Compliance Committee (CC08) requested the Secretariat to broaden the range of data sources used in the analysis of potential landings or transhipments of SIOFA species at ports under the jurisdiction of non-Cooperating non-Contracting Parties (non-CCPs)—including data held by external organisations such as the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO)—To this end, the Secretariat undertook consultations with the FAO as well as several relevant nongovernmental organisations (NGOs). Despite these efforts, no suitable or verifiable port landing data were made available to the Secretariat during the reporting period. As a result, it was not possible to complete the analysis as requested by CC08.]

Nº	Performance Review Recommendations	Review Panel Priority (H/M/L )	SC Recommendations (from SC-EXTRA1)	CC07 Recommendations	MoP10 Decision	MoP 10 Priority	Imple- menting Party(ies) / Body(ies)	Proposed Timeline at MoP10	Notes and Current Status
				clarified that the key element of the investigation is the need to investigate possible landings or transhipments of SIOFA species at ports placed under the jurisdiction of non-CCPs.					
26	The Panel recommends and encourages SIOFA CCPs to continue their efforts to agree on a SIOFA VMS in order to verify vessels activity in the Agreement Area. The Panel also recommends that CCPs adopt rules for the submission VMS data until such scheme is adopted.	H/M	62. Regarding Recommendation Nr 26, the SC noted that the sharing of VMS data with the SC could be useful for enabling the verification fishing location data for its data checking procedures.	90. Regarding Recommendation Nr 26, the Compliance Committee endorsed the recommendation to continue efforts to agree on a SIOFA VMS and noted that this work is ongoing. 91. One CCP suggested that Recommendation Nr 26 should be assigned a priority of 'H' rather than 'H/M'. 92. Another CCP suggested that the second recommendation, to adopt rules for the submission of VMS data, should only be considered if and when a SIOFA VMS is agreed upon.	MoP endorses the recommendation, noting the statements of some CCPs that the rule of submission of VMS data, should only be considered if and when a SIOFA VMS is agreed upon	Н	CC and MoP	1 - 3 years (ongoing)I	MoP10 established the VMS WGs. The WGs met several times in the intersessional period. CC8 and MoP11 are reviewing the SSPs  [SIOFA agreed on a VMS measure in 2023. Work is ongoing to operationalise the SIOFA VMS. To date, there have been six (6) VMS Working Groups that has addressed a number of issues such as:  Development of a SIOFA VMS SSPs Hosting Options for the SIOFA VMS Consideration of policy implications and CMM reviews required to support the operationalisation of the VMS Drafting a terms of reference for the procurement of the SIOFA VMS
27	The Panel recommends SIOFA CCPs urgently seek to clarify the various issues of interpretation affecting the implementation of	H		93. The Compliance Committee did not express any views regarding Recommendation Nr 27.	MoP endorses the recommendation, and notes that there may not be a need to seek independent legal or tech advice	М	SC CC MoP	ongoing (3 - 5 years)	CMMs are reviewed by the MoP and its subsidiary bodies.

Nº	Performance Review Recommendations	Review Panel Priority (H/M/L )	SC Recommendations (from SC-EXTRA1)	CC07 Recommendations	MoP10 Decision	MoP 10 Priority	Imple- menting Party(ies) / Body(ies)	Proposed Timeline at MoP10	Notes and Current Status
	several MCS measures, in particular those related to CMM 06 on the IUU vessel list, CMM 07 on Vessel authorisation and CMM 14 on the HSBI procedures, including by seeking independent legal or technical advice if necessary.								
	With regard to SIOFA's observer programme, and in general with regard to possible technical improvements for the standing measures, Recommendation nr 9 on a corpus of SIOFA CMMs applies also for the purposes of the issues assessed under this criterion	-							
28	The Panel recommends including in the agenda of the Compliance Committee a specific standing item on follow-up actions in the framework of the	Н		94. The Compliance Committee endorsed Recommendation Nr 28 and noted that the review of follow-up actions is already part of its CMS framework.	MoP endorsed the recommendation, and noted the comments made by the CC.	Н	СС	ongoing	The CC included a dedicated item on its annual agenda. A standing agenda item of the Compliance Committee

Nº	Performance Review Recommendations	Review Panel Priority (H/M/L )	SC Recommendations (from SC-EXTRA1)	CC07 Recommendations	MoP10 Decision	MoP 10 Priority	Imple- menting Party(ies) / Body(ies)	Proposed Timeline at MoP10	Notes and Current Status
	CMS for the previous year or years.								
29	The Panel recommends SIOFA CCPs agree on a review of CMM 11 on a Compliance Monitoring Scheme in order to facilitate its interpretation, taking into account the changes proposed by this Panel, including to the CCR template and the rules regarding follow up action on infringements identified in previous years.	H/M		95. The Compliance Committee noted Recommendation Nr 29 and that the review of CMM 2020/11 (Compliance Monitoring Scheme) is part of its ongoing work. The Compliance Committee agreed to consider the Review Panel's views as part of its CMS review process.	MoP endorsed the recommendation, and notes that work is ongoing.	Н/М	CC MoP	1-3 years	A new template has been agreed by CC8. CC8 recognized the need for capacity building within CCPs' delegations on the usage of the new CCR template. This is envisaged to be undertaken within one month of the 2025 submission deadline.  [An Informal Workshop on the SIOFA CCR Template (CCRTW) was successfully completed in March 2025. Furthermore, the Secretariat developed the "Guidelines for Completing the New SIOFA CCPs Compliance Report Template" which complemented the workshop and is available to CCPs when completing their CCR]
30	The Panel recommends SIOFA CCPs task the Secretariat with an assignment as high priority for the Compliance Officer the strengthening of the Secretariat's technical capacity to examine, analyse and verify the data collected for the purposes of the Compliance Monitoring Scheme.	H/M		96. The Compliance Committee expressed agreement with Recommendation Nr 30.	MoP endorses this recommendation.	Н	Secretariat (ES and CO)	ongoing work (continuous)	CC8 is following this recommendation.  A Compliance Officer has been recruited in 2023. CC8 noted that additional activities to enhance the Secretariat capacity in relation to the Compliance Monitoring Scheme would evolve over time.  [Ongoing]

Nº	Performance Review	Review	SC Recommendations (from SC-EXTRA1)	CC07 Recommendations	MoP10 Decision	MoP 10 Priority	Imple- menting	Proposed Timeline at	Notes and Current Status
	Recommendations	Panel Priority (H/M/L					Party(ies) / Body(ies)	MoP10	
31	The Panel recommends that SIOFA CCPs task the Secretariat to assess the capacity building needed in order to improve implementation of their obligations by the CCPs, prioritizing the most urgent and providing options to ensure appropriate assistance is provided to CCPs which so require.	M	63. The SC endorsed Recommendation Nr 31 and noted that it should be considered in conjunction with Recommendation Nr 6. 64. The SC endorsed the medium priority assigned to this recommendation.	97. The Compliance Committee endorsed Recommendation Nr 31 and noted that the identification of capacity gaps is an essential part of an effective CMS.	MoP endorses this recommendation. And notes that capacity building was already discussed	Н	Secretariat CC SC MoP	1 - 3 years	CC8 recognized the need for capacity building within CCPs' delegations, which in part could be addressed by the workshop on the usage of the new CCR template.  See paper SC-10-24. [Capacity building options presented to CC09 through paper CC-09-15]  Capacity building options presented to MoP12 through paper MoP-12-25
32	The Panel recommends SIOFA CCPs discuss the possible adoption of a new measure on a Catch Documentation Scheme, focusing, in particular, on CCAMLR's DCD, and explore options for its implementation. The Panel recommends SIOFA strengthens its cooperation with CCAMLR in this regard, including by requesting capacity building support for the Secretariat so that it can contribute to future	H/M		98. The Compliance Committee noted that Recommendation Nr 32 pertains to a Catch Documentation Scheme (CDS) for toothfish, noted that all SIOFA CCPs fishing for toothfish are Members of CCAMLR, where there is already a CDS for toothfish, and are thus already required to document catches of toothfish in the SIOFA Area, and agreed that it is therefore not necessary to establish a SIOFA CDS for toothfish, rather, it is adequate to continue	MoP agrees with the recommendation made by the CC, and noted that it is therefore not necessary to establish a SIOFA CDS for toothfish, rather, it is adequate to continue its ongoing cooperation with CCAMLR	Н	SC, CC and MoP	ongoing	Collaboration with CCAMLR is ongoing under the SIOFA-CCAMLR Arrangement.

Nº	Performance Review Recommendations	Review Panel Priority (H/M/L	SC Recommendations (from SC-EXTRA1)	CC07 Recommendations	MoP10 Decision	MoP 10 Priority	Imple- menting Party(ies) / Body(ies)	Proposed Timeline at MoP10	Notes and Current Status
	joint work by the two organisations.	)		its ongoing cooperation with CCAMLR.					
33	The Panel recommends SIOFA CCPs consider the option of developing a SIOFA Reporting Manual to replace the present table of reporting requirements provided for in the organisation's website. Suggestions as to the structure and contents have been provided in our assessment under this criterion.	M	65. The SC endorsed Recommendation Nr 33 and supported improving communication around data collection, noting that the currently ongoing project on Harmonisation of Scientific Observer Programmes (Annex F, SC8 Report) would address some aspects of this recommendation. 66. The SC endorsed the medium priority assigned to this recommendation.		MoP endorses the recommendation	M	Secretariat, CC, SC	3 years	Project SEC2022-OBS1 and the observer harmonisation workshops (WS2024-OBS and OBS2) have made recommendations for the consideration by SC10 on observer manuals and data reporting systems.
34	The Panel recommends SIOFA CCPs consider the option of establishing an IT- based data management platform taking into account the experience gained in the design and use of such platforms in other organisations, including in-built protocols for data verification, quality checks and the protection of	H	67. Regarding Recommendation Nr 34, the SC noted that it would welcome any systems and processes that would improve the quality of data and allow the SC to conduct verification and quality checks. 68. The SC further noted that it has discussed the protection of confidentiality of data in past SC meetings and that processes that would protect confidentiality would be in line with recommendations that the SC has made previously.		MoP endorses the recommendation. And request the Secretariat to prepare a paper describing implementation possibilities (to SC and MoP)	Н	Secretariat, SC, CC	1 - 3 years	The Secretariat has an in-house IT-based platform for managing data, datasets (metadata), and the fisheries (C&E, observer, vessels) databases.  This was not designed to have an interface from outside of the Secretariat. The Secretariat currently applies a range of data related procedures: data submission, data checks, data release and data backup

Nº	Performance Review	Review	SC Recommendations (from SC-EXTRA1)	CC07 Recommendations	MoP10 Decision	MoP 10 Priority	Imple- menting	Proposed Timeline at	Notes and Current Status
	Recommendations	Panel Priority (H/M/L )					Party(ies) / Body(ies)	MoP10	
	confidential data. A decision to explore this option should only be taken if CCPs accept and assume the need for investment on capacity building as required.								
35	The Panel recommends, in case SIOFA CCPs are not prepared to implement an IT data platform as per Recommendation nr 34, urgent action is taken to ensure appropriate data verification protocols and quality checks are established.	Н	69. Regarding Recommendation Nr 35, the SC noted that data verification protocols and quality checks are already in place, but acknowledged that they could be enhanced.		The MoP notes the comments of the SC, and that the work is ongoing	-		ongoing	The Secretariat notes that it currently has data check procedures for data submissions.  Completed. The procedures have been presented to SC10 (paper SC-10-30) and are available on the SIOFA website (data section: https://siofa.org/Data/data-files).
36	The Panel recommends SIOFA CCPs agree to share data regarding the implementation of their fisheries control obligations and utilise such data in the framework of CMM 11's Compliance Monitoring Scheme in order to assess whether SIOFA's control-related measures are effectively implemented.	H/M		99. The Compliance Committee did not express any views regarding Recommendation Nr 36.	The MoP endorses the recommendation. The MoP noted that further work was necessary on the CMS process.	Н/М	CC Secretariat	1 - 3 years	Partially implemented by the Compliance Assessment Process.  It will further be reinforced with the new CCR template. [New CCR template to improve data collection in use]

Nº	Performance		SC Recommendations (from	CC07	MoP10 Decision	MoP 10	Imple-	Proposed	Notes and Current Status
IVE	Review Recommendations	Review Panel Priority (H/M/L )	SC-EXTRA1)	Recommendations	Nor 10 Decision	Priority	menting Party(ies) / Body(ies)	Timeline at MoP10	Notes and current status
37	The Panel recommends SIOFA CCPs consider strengthening the use of intersessional decision procedures or inter-sessional working groups to facilitate the work of SIOFA as appropriate, in order to focus MOP discussions and make better use of the time available.	M/L	70. Regarding Recommendation Nr 37, the SC noted that it has trialled and recommended the continuation of a new combined SC meeting format, supplemented by workshops and focused agenda items (paragraph 337, SC8 Report).		MoP endorses the recommendation, and notes that Inter-Sessionnal decision process should used only when exceptional	M/L	МоР	1-5 years	Ref MoP10 decision which address the recommendation.
38	The Panel encourages SIOFA CCPs to continue and if needed intensify dialogue on matters of concern to different CCPs, where consensus has not been achieved in order to find a common view which can be satisfactory to all CCPs. In particular, the Panel recommends to address bottom fishing activities in the Saya de Malha	M			MoP endorses the recommendation	М	МоР	ongoing	MoP11 notes that the issue of bottom fishing on Saya De Malha has been discussed at several MoPs without an agreed way forward.  SIOFA and IOTC have been working on establishing a formal cooperation framework but are already cooperating on several matters (data, IUU fishing)

Nº	Performance Review Recommendations	Review Panel Priority (H/M/L	SC Recommendations (from SC-EXTRA1)	CC07 Recommendations	MoP10 Decision	MoP 10 Priority	Imple- menting Party(ies) / Body(ies)	Proposed Timeline at MoP10	Notes and Current Status
	Bank, the scope of boarding and inspection procedures under CMM 14 and the issue of managing overlapping obligations for vessels arising from both SIOFA and neighbouring RFMOs, in particular the IOTC.								
39	The Panel recommends SIOFA CCPs continue to review, clarify and amend as appropriate the relevant data rules or provisions so that all CCPs as well as observers and the general public have better access to data and information for the purpose of discussion and decision-making.	М	71. The SC noted that it has held discussions on rules of data access and dissemination at SC8 (paragraphs 96–108 and 114–119 and Annex E, SC8 Report) and that the development of standardised reports such as fisheries summaries and ecosystem reports should also facilitate better access to data and information.		MoP endorses this recommendation, and notes that this work is ongoing	М	SC, CC and MoP	ongoing	Public data access is governed by CMM 03. CMM 03 is planned to be revised at CC9 and MoP12 (ref paper CC-09-19 and MoP-12-35)  Work has been done to improve the access to the RAV information, with the addition of vessels details pages
40	The Panel recommends SIOFA CCPs task the Secretariat to review the documents and materials on the SIOFA website and make necessary tunings in accordance with	M			MoP supports the recommendation	М	Secretariat	ongoing	The Secretariat notes that the titles and abstracts of all SC restricted access documents have been made public on its website. In addition, versions of SC project reports (where appropriate) have also been made available publicly on the SIOFA website.

NΩ	Performance Review Recommendations	Review Panel Priority (H/M/L	SC Recommendations (from SC-EXTRA1)	CC07 Recommendations	MoP10 Decision	MoP 10 Priority	Imple- menting Party(ies) / Body(ies)	Proposed Timeline at MoP10	Notes and Current Status
	any new data rules on dissemination and any relevant decisions of the MoP.								
41	The Panel recommends SIOFA CCPs engage in discussion on the rules, standards and procedures regarding the granting of CNCP status, including the clarification of the requirements for admission or CNCPs status renewal, in order to ensure a consistent reviewing approach. The adoption of clear rules as well as an application template is also recommended, providing CNCP with general instructions on the required information, actions, and any other criterion.	M			MoP notes that the procedure for becoming CNCP is in the SIOFA RoP, and notes that further discussion would continue if necessary.	L	Secretariat MoP	ongoing	To date, the MoP assesses the CNCP status at its annual meetings. The SIOFA RoP are currently used for this task.  MoP recommends that CNCPs attend to the Compliance Committee meetings.

Nº	Performance Review Recommendations  Recommendations nr 11, 20 and 32 on the strengthening	Review Panel Priority (H/M/L )	SC Recommendations (from SC-EXTRA1)	CC07 Recommendations	MoP10 Decision	MoP 10 Priority	Imple- menting Party(ies) / Body(ies)	Proposed Timeline at MoP10	Notes and Current Status
	of cooperation between SIOFA and CCAMLR also apply to the issues assessed under this criterion.								
42	The Panel recommends SIOFA CCPs consider strengthening cooperation with the IOTC, SWIOFC, SEAFO, SPRFMO, and CCSBT, as appropriate.	Н	72. Regarding Recommendation Nr 42, the SC noted that for some stocks in some areas, the scientific information and methods applied by these regional fisheries management organisations (RFMOs) may be relevant to SIOFA and cooperation with them would be beneficial.		MoP endorses this recommendation, the MoP notes that cooperation with CCAMLR and other bodies (eg FAO) is also necessary.	Н	SC, CC, MoP Secretariat	ongoing	Secretariat has been working intersessionnally to conclude the formalisation of cooperation between SIOFA and IOTC. The Secretariat has been working to formalize cooperation with IOC, however its endeavour has not been successful. The Secretariat participates in meetings remotely or inperson of neighbouring RFMOs and CCAMLR to the extent possible.
43	The Panel recommends SIOFA CCPs include a prerequisite in their consideration of CMM new or amended proposals the review of relevant measures adopted by neighbouring international organizations in order to promote a coherent approach and compatibility of fisheries management across RFMO boundaries.	М			MoP does not endorse the recommendation, and notes that SIOFA should develop its measures independantly, especially if they are more effective than those of other RFMOs.				N/A

Nº	Performance Review Recommendations	Review Panel Priority (H/M/L	SC Recommendations (from SC-EXTRA1)	CC07 Recommendations	MoP10 Decision	MoP 10 Priority	Imple- menting Party(ies) / Body(ies)	Proposed Timeline at MoP10	Notes and Current Status
44	The Panel recommends SIOFA CCPs consider setting up a section on the SIOFA website dedicated to SIOFA's implementation of Article 13 of the Agreement, presenting the assistance that may be provided individually or collectively by CCPs to meet the special requirement of CCP developing States including, in particular, the least developed among them, and small island developing States.	Σ	73. Regarding Recommendation Nr 44, the SC noted that it could be tasked with capacity building in scientific areas. The SC noted that the FAO DSF Project may also share objectives that are aligned with this recommendation.		MoP endorsed this recommendation, and notes the comments provided by the SC	M	SC, CC, MoP Secretariat	3 years	The Secretariat notes that the SIOFA website is capable of supporting a dedicated section for the implementation of article 13 of the Agreement, and can be implemented once the content is advised by the MoP.  CC8 recommends that the Secretariat develop a paper for CC9 to identify areas where developing states require technical assistance or otherwise in the implementation of obligations arising from the Agreement. This should include the identification of mechanisms to provide such assistance. The Secretariat should consult CCPs for the development of this paper.  [Capacity building options presented to CC09 through paper CC-09-15]  Capacity building options presented to MoP12 through paper MoP-12-25
45	The Panel Recommends that SIOFA CCPs agree on a periodical review by the MoP of the organisation's implementation of Article 13 of the Agreement and encourage CCP developing States to proactively express their needs, challenges and special requirements affecting their	M/L			MoP endorses this recommendation, and notes that CCPs should report issues in regard to the implementation of article 13	L	МоР	ongoing	Some financial support is provided to developing CCPs under article 13 of the Agreement for attendance to SIOFA meetings.  No specific support from SIOFA is provided to developing States bordering the Area that are not CCPs unless they express the intention to join SIOFA in the coming year.  The MoP will establish a standing agenda item relevant to Article 13 and tasked the Secretariat to provide a paper on this topic at its ordinary meetings.  A paper on the implementation of Article 13 and the existing mechanisms is presented to the CC9 and the MoP12 through the documents CC-09-14 and MoP-12-24, respectively.

Nº	Performance		SC Recommendations (from	CC07	MoP10 Decision	MoP 10	Imple-	Proposed	Notes and Current Status
142	Review	Review	SC-EXTRA1)	Recommendations	Wor 10 Decision	Priority	menting	Timeline at	Notes and carrent status
	Recommendations	Panel Priority					Party(ies) / Body(ies)	MoP10	
		(H/M/L					Douy(ics)		
	contribution to	)							
	SIOFA's work. The								
	MoP may								
	thereafter consider								
	establishing a fund								
	dedicated to these purposes or								
	expanding the								
	scope of the								
	current one.								
	Recommendations								
	nr 6, 31 and 34 on various areas								
	where capacity								
	building assistance								
	could be provided								
	by SIOFA also apply								
	to the issues assessed under this								
	criterion.								
46	The Panel	H/M	74. Regarding		MoP endorses this	Н	CCPs	1 - 3 years	At its annual meeting, the MoP adopts the budget for the
	recommends SIOFA		Recommendation Nr 46, the		recommendation		MoP		coming year and considers the forecast budget for at least
	CCPs urgently agree		SC noted that strategic						one additional year.
	on a strategic financial planning		financial planning for the medium-term would allow						The SC has extended its workplan and associated budget to cover a 3-year timeframe.
	for the medium		the SC to plan and prioritize						cover a 5-year timerrame.
	term taking into		its work and that the						
	account the costs		Medium-Term SC Workplan						
	incurred over		(Annex F, SC8 Report)						
	recent years for the funding of the		contributes to the achievement of this						
	Scientific		recommendation.						
	Committee work,								
	and commit to a								
	fairer sharing of								
	these costs, including by								
	contributing in kind								
	CCP scientific								
	resources.								

Nº	Performance Review Recommendations	Review Panel Priority (H/M/L	SC Recommendations (from SC-EXTRA1)	CC07 Recommendations	MoP10 Decision	MoP 10 Priority	Imple- menting Party(ies) / Body(ies)	Proposed Timeline at MoP10	Notes and Current Status
47	The Panel recommends SIOFA CCPs discuss in depth the strategic plan presented by the Executive Secretary in 2022 but extend their discussions not just to the funding aspects of it, but also to its role. For this purpose, an analysis should be carried out of the Secretariat's degree of autonomy to identify areas where it could be allowed to operate in a more agile way.	Σ	75. Regarding Recommendation Nr 47, the SC noted that the creation of the Science Officer position and the appointment of Dr Marco Milardi has greatly enhanced the ability of the SC to advance its work programme.		MoP endorses this recommendation, MoP notes that is it part of an ongoing process, and notes that the addition of one SO and one CO enhanced the capacity of the Secretariat	M	SC, CC, MoP Secretariat	ongoing	Note the SC workplan  CC8 notes that this recommendation is more relevant to the MoP.  The role of the Secretariat is determined by the MoP, and the SIOFA RoP. The RoP relevant to the Secretariat have not changed.
48	The Panel recommends SIOFA CCPs work towards a clear agreement on the use of consultants – or not – for the offices of subsidiary body chairpersons. Were the decision taken to continue using the current contractual arrangements a robust evaluation of the workload and appropriate funding should be agreed, in order to ensure these	H	76. Regarding Recommendation Nr 48, the SC noted the decisions by the MoP to appoint Mr Alistair Dunn as an independent SC Chair and to extend his term, as well as SC8's recommendation that his term be further extended for two years (paragraphs 361– 363, SC8 Report). 77. The SC had no advice regarding Recommendations Nrs 14, 23–25, 27–30, 32, 36, 38, 40–41, 43, 45, and 49.		MoP has so far agreed to this arrangement, and also notes that further discussion on the workload and appropriate funding is necessary.	Н	SC, CC, MoP	ongoing	CC8 notes that this recommendation is more relevant to the MoP.  The SIOFA budget provides defined funding for the use of external consultants.

Nº	Performance		SC Recommendations (from	CC07	MoP10 Decision	MoP 10	Imple-	Proposed	Notes and Current Status
	Review Recommendations	Review Panel	SC-EXTRA1)	Recommendations		Priority	menting Party(ies) /	Timeline at MoP10	
	Recommendations	Priority					Body(ies)	WIOFIO	
		(H/M/L							
	offices can be	)							
	effectively and								
	efficiently								
	discharged.								
49	The Panel	M/L			The MoP notes this				There is no record about this recommendation in MoP10
	recommends that				recommendation, and				report
	the term of office of the MoP				notes that further discussions are planned				To be potentially discussed by MoP11 (RoP)
	Chairperson be				during the MoP10.				
	extended to 2 years				during the Wor 10.				
	at least, to ensure								
	continuity in								
	proceedings.								
0	As a general procedural				MoP will review the implementation of the				N/A
	consideration, the				1st SIOFA performance				
	Panel				review at MoP12.				
	recommends that								
	SIOFA CCPs agree								
	on a clear								
	process for the follow-up of this								
	Performance								
	Review								
	Including the								
	following elements:								
	A formal decision     on which								
	Recommendations								
	are accepted;								
	2. a plan for								
	implementation								
	with time targets;								
	3. a regular, periodical review of								
	implementation of								
	such accepted								
	Recommendations;								
	4. a renewal of the								
	Performance								
	Review								
	process within an					l			

Nº Performance Review Recommendations	Review Panel Priority (H/M/L	SC Recommendations (from SC-EXTRA1)	CC07 Recommendations	MoP10 Decision	MoP 10 Priority	Imple- menting Party(ies) / Body(ies)	Proposed Timeline at MoP10	Notes and Current Status
appropriate time frame, which we would recommend could be 5 years from now, given the fact this Review is the first such process carried out by the organisation.								