

PAEWG-04-06 rev1

4th Meeting of the Protected Area and Ecosystem Working Group (PAEWG4)
07-11 March 2022 (online)

Management of other ecosystem components

(Project PAE2021-02)

ToR1: Document the seabird and mammal species that commonly interact with fishing activity in the SIOFA area and undertake Ecological Risk Assessments for the Effects of Fishing (ERAEF) on those species

Relate to agenda item: 5.1

Working paper Info paper Restricted

Ross Analytics

Abstract

Ecological risk assessment for the effects of fishing on seabird and mammal species in the SIOFA area.

Report prepared in accordance with Project PAE2021-02. ToR1: Document the seabird and mammal species that commonly interact with fishing activity in the SIOFA area and undertake Ecological Risk Assessments for the Effects of Fishing (ERAEF) on those species.

Here we have taken the term ‘commonly’ to mean ‘have the potential to’ in order that the extent of the assessment is not unduly restricted by relying on the data available from SIOFA fisheries, noting that the data reporting requirements and observer data collection protocols have limitations. This interpretation was agreed with the SIOFA executive Secretary and the Chair of the Scientific Committee.

Introduction

Undertaking an ecological risk assessment provides a formal mechanism to determine:

- (i) which taxa are at risk from interactions with fisheries,
- (ii) the particular fisheries, areas, and times of year when interactions occur,
- (iii) the actions, including research, that can be taken to better quantify and to mitigate any impacts.

Methods for conducting ecological risk assessments for seabirds are well developed and have been applied in several fisheries management bodies that are relevant to SIOFA (CCAMLR, WCPFC, IOTC). Although the details of the methods and implementation of ecological risk assessments differ between different organisations the overarching principles are generally consistent with the tiered approach developed by CSIRO (Hobday et al. 2011). While there are fewer examples of ecological risk assessment for the effects of fishing on marine mammals and other non-target taxa the same principles can be applied and hence the same underpinning data are required (see for example Baje et al 2021). The principle elements of the tiered approach to ecological risk assessment approach are:

Level 1 - which provides a comprehensive process that examines the distribution of species and activities of interest to establish qualitative measures of the “Scale, Intensity, Consequence” of any interactions,

Level 2 is a semi-quantitative “Productivity-Susceptibility” analysis that further develops the potential consequences of any interactions on species of interest, and

Level 3 is a highly quantitative, model-based analysis, involving the taxa identified as being at high risk in the Level 1 and 2 analyses

In developing an ecological risk assessment, it is essential that interpretation of the outputs are guided by data availability and the assumptions made where data are scarce and/or missing. As Small et al. (2013) noted, developing quantitative estimates of the species-specific consequences of bycatch are ‘problematic and often impossible’. Small et al. (2013) also noted that the definition of risk has proved sufficiently problematic that it can become an impediment

to implementation of the outcomes of ecological risk assessments. Fortunately, the tiered approach provides a mechanism to progress an ecological risk assessment that takes account of, but is not curtailed by, these concerns; as they do not impact the process of assembling the comprehensive information needed to categorise the scale and intensity elements of a Level 1 assessment. This is a particularly important consideration where there are large difference in the data available across different taxa, e.g available data on the distribution of seabirds compared to cetaceans. Furthermore, because they are land-breeding species there is much greater knowledge of population demographics for seabirds compared to many marine mammals. In this project the aim is to assemble the information required to undertake a Level 1 ERA for seabirds and marine mammals and to undertake an initial Level 2 ERA.

Collating all available data to determine which species of seabirds and marine mammals should be included in an ERA across the entire SIOFA area, that extends from the tropics to the subantarctic, is challenging. However, the aim here is to ensure that while such list of species may not be absolutely comprehensive, it should be representative of the biology and ecology of all species that are likely to be involved. This means that any species that occur in the area, but are not explicitly included, would be likely to share the ecological and behavioural traits that guide the development of mitigation strategies and would therefore benefit from the introduction of such measures. Moreover, as the overall aim is to progress multi-species ERA according to the data available and the assessed risk, the need for increased data collection can be considered as an important part of the risk mitigation strategy.

Methods

Fishing Effort

Table 1. Haul level effort records (number of individual fishing events) by gear type made available with CCP permission from SIOFA Secretariat (12 Jan 2022).

	Trap	Hand operated	Demersal Longline	Trawl
Australia			358	26
Spain (EU)			1841	
France	6		161	
Japan				557
Thailand		237		625

Haul level fishing data were made available from the SIOFA Secretariat for the period 2016 to 2020 (Table 1). These data were aggregated into 5° x 5° cells for each fishery type (e.g. trawl, demersal longline and hand operated line) in the SIOFA Area (droplines and vertical longlines were both included as demersal longline). Given the small number of sets the trap data were not used in the analysis. Pelagic longline data from Chinese Taipei were provided